



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,676	01/11/2002	Bernd Klinksiek	Mo6885/LeA 33,410	9095
157	7590	10/23/2003	EXAMINER	
BAYER POLYMERS LLC			SERGENT, RABON A	
100 BAYER ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			1711	

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/030,676	01/11/2002	Klinksiek	Mo6885/LeA 33,140

EXAMINER	
Sergent	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1711	102003

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents

The reply filed on July 18, 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicants' claim amendments fail to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.121 (c)(2), because the amendments within line 4 of claims 6 and 8 changing "dispenser" to "dispenser" have not been identified to show the changes from the previous version of the claims. Since these changes have not been identified, it cannot be determined if the changes are intentional. Since the respective words have different meanings, the intended claim language must be determined and clearly set forth. Furthermore, it is unclear that applicants have clearly addressed the rejection of the "can be" language within claims 6 and 8, set forth within paragraph 4 of the previous Office action, because support has not been provided for the "unrestricted" language. The drawings fail to provide the necessary support, because each relevant drawing shows at least partial closing of the bores or slots, and it is not seen that drawings can be relied upon to provide support for the intention of unrestricted flow. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

R. Sergent

October 20, 2003


RABON SERGENT
PRIMARY EXAMINER